

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/787,473	RAJADHYAKSHA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Roy M. Punnoose.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Attorney Kenneth J. LuKacher (Reg. No. 38,539).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 April 2006
**Time:** 5:50 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

26

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 3. In response to an inquiry by the examiner regarding Claim 26 of the instant application, Attorney Kenneth J. LuKacher (Reg. No. 38,539) responded with a clarification on April 17, 2006, the summary of which is given below: Figure 1 shows that the illumination polarization is in the plane of the figure and the detected light is polarized perpendicular to the plane of the figure. Beamsplitter 16 is described as being non-polarizing or partially polarizing. If the beamsplitter is non-polarizing, the polarizer will not change the polarization of the light returned light. Therefore the light that is detected represents the light with a polarization that is crossed with respect to the incident light. This is described in the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 of the specification..